

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,424	12/20/2001	Thomas W. Leonard	8789-24	3706
20792 7	590 07/09/2003			
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 PALEIGH NG 27627			EXAMINER	
			KIM, JENNIFER M	
RALEIGH, NC 27627				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	8
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/029,424	LEONARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Kim	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a sy within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	A <i>pril 2003</i> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	<u> </u>	· ·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the ap	onlication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	4					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep	oted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
. Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ c	lisapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Detect and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed April 25, 2003 have been received and entered into the application.

Accordingly, claims 1-24 and 26 have been canceled.

Applicants' election without traverse of Group I, claims 25, 27 and 28, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of female hormonal deficiencies comprising an estrogenic compound and a non-aromatizing androgenic compound in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Claims 25, 27 and 28 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KAKEN PHARM CO LTD (JP 10279483).

KAKEN PHARM CO LTD teaches on the abstract that the composition comprising Applicants' estrogen compound and non-aromatizing androgenic compounds (i.e. oxandrolone, oxymetholone or stanzolol) useful for maintains or increases bone density without side effects.

Art Unit: 1617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KAKEN PHARM CO LTD (JP 10279483) and Bikle et al. (1992).

KAKEN PHARM CO LTD teachings as applied as before.

Bikle et al. teach on the abstract that progestins are frequently used in combination with estrogen to prevent or treat postmenopausal osteoporosis.

The claims differ from the cited references in claiming combination of KAKEN composition (estrogen compound and a non-aromatizing androgenic compound) and progestin.

To formulate a pharmaceutical composition comprising combinations of KAKEN composition (estrogen compound and a non-aromatizing androgenic compound) and progestin to treat

Art Unit: 1617

osteoporosis would have been obvious because all the components are well known individually for treating osteoporosis. It would be expected that the combination of components would treat osteoporosis as well. The motivation for combining the components flows from their individually known common utility (see In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069(CCPPA 1980)). In this case it would have been prima facie obvious to combine Kaken composition along with progestin in a single composition to treat osteoporosis.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-2232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Art Unit: 1617

Theodore J. Criares Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

jmk July 8, 2003